

Meeting note

File reference	
Status	Final
Author	Susannah Guest
Date	7 September 2016
Meeting with	Highways England
Venue	Highways England Offices, Birmingham
Attendees	James Holmes – Highways England Darlene Proctor – Highways England Louise Adams – Highways England Amarjit Doow-Powell – Highways England
	Susannah Guest – The Planning Inspectorate Richard Hunt – The Planning Inspectorate
Meeting objectives	A63 Castle Street – Project Update Meeting
Circulation	All attendees

Summary of key points discussed and advice given:

The developer was reminded of the Planning Inspectorate's openness policy that any advice given will be recorded and published on the National Infrastructure website under s51 of the Planning Act 2008 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) (PA2008) and that any advice given does not constitute legal advice upon which developers (or others) can rely.

Introductions were made by everyone present, and individual roles were explained.

As the representatives from The Inspectorate had not attended any previous meetings for this scheme, the developer provided a brief overview of the project and noted some of the key activities since the last meeting with The Planning Inspectorate in May 2014. The developer noted the key elements of the scheme, provided an outline of the engineering complexity associated with a scheme design and explained that they had been reviewing traffic and environmental surveys over the summer. Air quality modelling has been revised in line with updated DEFRA air quality data and this demonstrates no exceedence of the objective limits.

The developer explained the relationship with the proposed pedestrian bridge to Princess Quay and an extant planning permission under the Town and County Planning Act process. The developer provided an overview of engagement with key stakeholders to date including Hull City Council, Yorkshire Water and Diocese of York. In respect of the latter, the developer explained the work associated with the Trinity Burial Ground and confirmed that it had now re-opened.

The developer provided an overview of their approach to consultation and noted an indicative timetable for any future consultation activities which might include an updated Statement of Community Consultation.

The requirement for a Deemed Marine Licence in relation to works within the marina was discussed.

The implications of flooding events in the area as well as the placement of statutory undertakers' assets were discussed.